## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

\_:

R.B.,

a Minor, by and through her Parent, Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION

v.

:

NO. 2:10-cv-06722

MASTERY CHARTER SCHOOL, and

:

THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF

PHILADELPHIA
Defendants.

Defendants.

## **ORDER**

AND NOW, this 28th day of December, 2010, upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion for a Mandatory IDEA Stay-Put Injunction [doc. no. 2], Defendant Mastery's Response in Opposition [doc. no. 5], Defendant District's Response in Opposition [doc. no. 9], conference between all parties held on December 2, 2010, and evidentiary hearings held on December 16 and 17, 2010, Plaintiff's Motion is hereby **GRANTED**. Relief afforded to R.B. is **ORDERED** as follows:

- Defendant Mastery must reinstate R.B.'s placement at Mastery Charter school no later then January 5, 2011, unless the Parties agree to a mutually acceptable alternative date;
- 2) Counsel shall provide the Court written joint status reports in writing which:
  - i.) Confirms that R.B. has been re-enrolled in Mastery, or that a mutually acceptable alternative agreement about her placement has been reached, by January 5, 2011; and,

ii) Confirms that R.B. is in actual attendance at Mastery, or if an alternative agreement has been reached, that she is in attendance at the alternate location, by **January 10, 2011**.

3) All Parties are advised that given the time-sensitive nature of reinstating R.B.'s educational placement, the Court will not tolerate any delay.

Upon consideration of Defendant Philadelphia School District's Motion to Dismiss

Plaintiff's Complaint for Injunctive Relief [doc. no. 15] and Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in

Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss [doc. no. 24], it is hereby **ORDERED** that

Defendant Philadelphia School District's Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED** for Plaintiff's failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

3) Upon consideration of Defendant Mastery Charter School's Amended Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint [doc. no. 16], Plaintiff's Memoranda of Law in Opposition [doc. nos.18], and Defendant Mastery's Reply thereto [doc. no. 20], it is hereby **ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion is **DENIED**.

This Court retains jurisdiction to enforce these Orders.

It is so ORDERED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

HON. CYNTHIA M. RUFE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Defendant Mastery's prior Motion to Dismiss [doc. no. 13] is **DISMISSED as MOOT**.